

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignitia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,348	04/19/2001		Randall W. Ojanen	K-1786	2490
7:	590	05/28/2003			
Kennametal Inc.				EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 231 Latrobe, PA 15650			SINGH,	SUNIL	
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3673	
				DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/838,348

Applicant(s)

Ojanen

Examiner

Sunil Singh

Art Unit **3673**



ا ماما	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Perioa i	or Reply	, and do
A SHO THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THAT INDICATION.	O EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM o event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
 If the p If NO p Failure Any re 	neriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the leriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of thi patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{\textit{amendmen}}$	t filed 3/10/02 .
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b)	on is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex part	ccept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is to Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>15-17, 29, 30, and 32-42</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 💢	Claim(s) 15-17, 33-35, and 39	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 29, 30, 32, 36-38, and 40-42	is/are rejected.
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	
8) 🗌		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	tion Papers	<u> </u>
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	
441		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
12)		is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. this Office action.
12)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. this Office action.
12)□ Priority	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. this Office action.
12)□ Priority 13)□ _	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. this Office action.
12) ☐ Priority 13) ☐ a) ☐	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. or this Office action. er. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
12) □ Priority 13) □ a) □	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri All b) Some* c) None of:	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. o this Office action. er. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
12)□ Priority 13)□ a)□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pricational All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. o this Office action. er. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). been received. been received in Application No. cuments have been received in this National Stage u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
12) Priority 13) a) *So	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign price. All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. o this Office action. er. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). been received. been received in Application No. cuments have been received in this National Stage u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not received.
12) Priority 13) a) *So 14) —	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prices All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Burease the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic process.	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. of this Office action. er. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). been received. been received in Application No. cuments have been received in this National Stage of (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not received. oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
12) Priority 13) a) *So 14) a) a)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign price. All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Burease the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic processing. The translation of the foreign language provisional	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. o this Office action. er. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). been received. been received in Application No. cuments have been received in this National Stage u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not received. oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). application has been received.
12) ☐ Priority 13) ☐ a) ☐ *So 14) ☐ a) ☐	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign price. All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have completed copies of the priority documents have completed copies of the priority documents have completed copies of the International Bureau et the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic processing the priority domestic processing the priority documents have complete the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic processing the priority documents have complete the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic processing the priority documents have considered the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic processing the priority documents have considered the priority docum	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. o this Office action. er. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). been received. been received in Application No. cuments have been received in this National Stage u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not received. oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). application has been received.
12)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prices of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic property. The translation of the foreign language provisional Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prent(s)	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. o this Office action. er. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). been received. been received in Application No. cuments have been received in this National Stage u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not received. oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). application has been received. oriority under 35 U.S.C. § \$ 120 and/or 121.
12)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pridable. All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have compared to the priority documents have compared to the certified copies of the priority documents have compared to the certified copies of the priority documents have compared to the certified copies of the priority documents have compared to the certified copies of the priority documents have compared to the certified copies of the priority documents have compared to the international Bureau certified detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic properties of References Cited (PTO-892)	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. o this Office action. er. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). been received. been received in Application No. cuments have been received in this National Stage u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not received. oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). application has been received.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 32 and 36 call for the dimples to extend between ".007-.200"; however, such range was never disclosed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

Application/Control Number: 09/838,348

Art Unit: 3673

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

4. Claims 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Engle et al., Radd et al., Kniff et al. '708, Kniff '900, Emmerich, Dziak, Ojanen et al., D'Angelo, Ritchey et al. and Montgomery, Jr. (see Figs. 9,10; see (23); see col. 4 lines 59-60; see (64); see Fig. 5; see (16); see Fig. 1; see (64); see (166); and see (166) respectively).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 29, 30, 36-38 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodondi et al. (US 5181867).

Rodondi et al. discloses a retainer comprising a retainer sleeve (212) including at least one radially outward projecting dimple (see col. 4 lines 65+; col. 5 lines 1-2, (226), see Fig. 10), wherein said retainer has a cylindrical circumference and a thickness dimension (see Fig. 10). There are a plurality of dimples. Rodondi et al. teaches that their is some amount of radial projection of said dimple beyond the cylindrical surface of the retainer, which is of some percentage of the thickness dimension of said retainer. However, Rodondi et al. does not explicitly teach that the amount of radial projection of said dimple beyond the cylindrical surface

of the retainer is about 15-30 percent of the thickness dimension of said retainer. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Rodondi et al. by making the amount of radial projection of said dimple beyond the cylindrical surface of the retainer about 15-30 percent of the thickness dimension of said retainer since this allows for various diameter mating sockets to be used.

(Re claims 36-37), Rodondi et al. is silent about the specific sizing of the dimples. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Rodondi et al. by making his dimples with the sizing dimensions called for in claims 36 and 37 since such sizing allows for various diameter sockets to be used.

7. Claims 29, 30, 36-38 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steinke (US 6561058).

Steinke discloses a retainer (see Fig. 7) comprising a retainer sleeve (10) including at least one radially outward projecting dimple (see col. 3 line 53), wherein said retainer has a cylindrical circumference and a thickness dimension (see Fig. 5). There are a plurality of dimples. Steinke teaches that their is some amount of radial projection of said dimple beyond the cylindrical surface of the retainer, which is of some percentage of the thickness dimension of said retainer. However, Steinke does not explicitly teach that the amount of radial projection of said dimple beyond the cylindrical surface of the retainer is about 15-30 percent of the thickness dimension of said retainer. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Steinke by making the amount of radial projection of said dimple beyond the cylindrical surface of

the retainer about 15-30 percent of the thickness dimension of said retainer since this allows for more uniform gripping.

(Re claims 36-37), Steinke is silent about the specific sizing of the dimples as called for in claims 36 and 37. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Steinke by making his dimples with the sizing dimensions called for in claims 36 and 37 since such sizing allows for more effective water and air passages.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 15-17, 33-35 and 39 are allowed.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (703) 308-4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford, can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Sunil Singh

Soul Sing L
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3673

SS 5/20/03